

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,952	12/14/2001	William Robert Newman	17,640	7377	
23556	7590 07/14/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. 401 NORTH LAKE STREET			GOODMAN, CHARLES		
NEENAH, V			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3724		

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\mathcal{A}		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7		
		10/016,952	NEWMAN ET AL.	/		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Charles Goodman	3724			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address			
	od for Reply		- 1.00 (7.1/0) 57.014			
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum owill apply and will expire SIX (6) as cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Stat	us					
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ju	<u>une 2004</u> .				
2	a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
;	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disp	position of Claims					
	4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-32,34 and 35</u> is/are pending in the a	application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-14,22-31 and 35 i	is/are withdrawn from o	consideration.			
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
(6) Claim(s) <u>1-9, 15-21, 32 and 34</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	B) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement				
Арр	lication Papers					
	9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examine					
1	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) dbjected	to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	۱.		
1	 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex 	caminer. Note the attac	ched Oπice Action or form P1O-152.			
Prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
1	 2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received rity documents have b	in Application No			
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		not received.			
Attac	chment(s)					
1) 🗀	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	• —	ew Summary (PTO-413)			
2)	3		No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
∠, ∟	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		Q.		
	1.00	 .		- 10		

Application/Control Number: 10/016,952 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Amendment filed on 6/17/04 has been entered.

2. The drawings were received on 6/17/04. These drawings are approved.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

3. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/17/04 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

4. Claims 10-14 and 22-31 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Group and Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 10. Moreover, claim 35 has been withdrawn since the elected species does not include this feature.

Thus, claims 1-9, 15-21, 32 and 34 remain for consideration on the merits. With regards to claims 8, 9 and 33, they have been withdrawn from consideration for the following reason.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/016,952

Art Unit: 3724

Page 3

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-6, 8, 9, 15-21, 32, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Molzberger et al (EP 1 048 257 A2).

Molzberger et al discloses a hygiene roll dispenser, i.e. wipes, comprising all the elements claimed including, inter alia, a cartridge (e.g. 2) comprising a bottom wall (at 3 in the Figures), at least one side wall (e.g. 4, 5, 6), a chamber (e.g. surrounding 15 in Fig. 2), and an opening (near 20 in Figs. 4-5); a cover (e.g. 1); an elongated dispensing passage (between 20 and 25 in Fig. 5); a rib (bend or juncture adjacent 21 in Fig. 5); and a lip (e.g. at 29). Figs. 1-10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under

Application/Control Number: 10/016,952

Art Unit: 3724

37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Molzberger et al (EP 1 048 257 A2) in view of Ishikawa et al (US 5,699,912).

Molzberger et al discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the cover providing at least a double seal about the perimeter of the lip except at the elongated dispensing passage. In that regard, Ishikawa et al teaches a container for wetted tissues, i.e. wet wipes, in which the cover (33) provides a double seal about the perimeter of the lip (12) vis-a-vis the portion of the cover over the lip and the projection (34b) which performs at least the dual functions of locking the cover to the cartridge in an enhanced manner (10) and inherently preventing undue or excessive evaporation of the moisture in the wet wipes. See e.g., Fig. 3B, c. 4, ll. 42-55. Thus, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time of the instant invention to provide the cover of Molzberger et al with a double seal about the perimeter of the lip as taught and suggested by Ishikawa et al in order to facilitate enhanced locking and sealing of the cover to the cartridge to thereby prevent excessive or undue moisture evaporation of the wet wipes as well as insuring the hygienic dispensing.

In light of the modification of above, it is deemed obvious to the ordinary artisan that the modified device of Molzberger et al would not have the double seal at the location of the elongated passage, since if the features comprising the double seal were

Art Unit: 3724

to obstruct the elongated passage in the modified device of Molzberger et al, they would prevent dispensing of the wet wipes through said passage.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9, 15-21, 32 and 34 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Goodman whose telephone number is (703) 308-0501. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday between 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap, can be reached on (703) 308-1082.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Charles Goodman Primary Examiner

AU 3724

cg // July 12, 2004

CHARLES GOODMA.